The Business Case for Coaching

The higher up the organizational ladder a manager/leader/executive ascends, the less the person can depend solely on technical skills. He/she needs to develop more effective interpersonal skills and higher levels of emotional intelligence.

For many years, organizations have spent large sums of money to hire coaches for top executives in an effort to improve these abilities. Now, in 2007, we are finding that more managers and leaders are being provided by their organizations with access to the services of business, leadership and executive coaching.

Are coaching programs effective in improving bottom line performances for the organizations?

Research by the Center for Creative Leadership found that the primary causes of derailment in managers, leaders and executives involve deficits in emotional competence. The three primary ones are:

1. difficulty in handling change
2. not being able to work well in a team
3. and poor interpersonal relations.

A study of 130 executives found that how effectively people handled their own emotions determined how much people around them preferred to deal with them (Walter V. Clarke Associates, 1997).

Effective coaching works with managers, leaders and executives to develop their proficiency in working with change. It helps them identify when teamwork is important and to use their skills to foster it. Coaching builds skills and capacities for effective working relationships.

Coaching paves the way for decision makers to create higher levels of organizational effectiveness through dialogue, inquiry and positive interactions. Coaching creates awareness, purpose, competence and well-being among participants. Coaching is NOT another feel-good exercise based in soft skills that has no correlation to the bottom line.

An article in the Harvard Business Review (Jan-Feb 1998) titled “The Employee-Customer-Profit Chain at Sears”, by Rucci, Kirn and Quinn, a model was developed indicating that 5 units increase of employee
attitude led to 1.3 unit increase in customers’ positive impression, resulting in 0.5% increase in revenue growth.

One study examined the effects of executive coaching in a public sector municipal agency. Thirty-one managers underwent a conventional managerial training program, followed by 8 weeks of one-on-one executive coaching. Training—which included goal setting, collaborative problem solving, practice, feedback, supervisory involvement, evaluation of end-results, and a public presentation—increased productivity by 22.4%. Training and coaching increased productivity by 88%, a significantly greater gain compared to training alone. (Public Personnel Management; Washington; Winter 1997; Gerald Olivero; K Denise Bane; Richard E Kopelman)

According to a survey by Manchester, Inc., a Jacksonville, Florida, career management consulting firm, about six out of ten organizations currently offer coaching or other developmental counseling to their managers and executives. Another 20 percent of companies said they plan to offer coaching within the next year.

Although it was once used as an intervention with troubled staff, coaching is now part of the standard leadership development programs in such companies as IBM, Motorola, J.P. Morgan Chase, Hewlett-Packard and many others. Brokerage firms and other sales-based organizations such as insurance companies use coaches to bolster performance of people in high-pressure, stressful jobs.

In some cases, the coaching is geared toward correcting management behavior problems such as poor communication skills, failure to develop subordinates, or indecisiveness. More often, however, it is used to sharpen the leadership skills of high-potential individuals. Coaching can ensure the success, or decrease the failure rate, of newly promoted managers.

“People are in a legitimate state of doubt—about galloping technology, globalization, heightened competition and increased complexity,” says Warren Bennis, who teaches leadership at the University of Southern California. “They need someone to bounce ideas off of and to listen to their existential grousing.”

Michigan-based Triad Performance Technologies, Inc. studied and evaluated the effects of a coaching intervention on a group of regional and district sales managers within a large telecom organization. The third party research study cites a 10:1 return on investment in less than one year.
The following business outcomes were directly attributed to the coaching intervention:

- Top performing staff, who were considering leaving the organization, were retained, resulting in reduced turnover, increased revenue, and improved customer satisfaction.
- A positive work environment was created, focusing on strategic account development and achieving higher sales volume.
- Customer revenues and customer satisfaction were improved due to fully staffed and fully functioning territories.
- Revenues were increased, due to managers improving their performance and exceeding their goals.

The Confusion: What Is Coaching?

Coaching means many different things to different people. Coach training schools vary widely in their philosophies and competencies. Many consultants and persons trained in psychology are simply calling themselves coaches with no formal training or consistent standards. The occupation is fairly new as an organized profession and is seeking to find standardization. The governing association is the International Coach Federation.

In many companies and industries coaching is showing up in several ways. One is through the use of external coaches to work with key or targeted individuals (CEOs, high potential executives, problem managers). Secondly, some companies have hired internal executive and management coaches. Thirdly, they have trained their own management and executive staff in coaching skills. While all of these are valuable initiatives, each has unique implications.

For our purposes here, business and executive coaching is defined as an interaction that occurs between people that produces desired performance, change or transformational results by promoting personal and organizational awareness, purpose, competence and well-being.

How coaching is experienced by people in organizations, however, is not always clear. There is a great difference in the coaching experience that depends on whether the person coaching is truly independent or not.
Coaching Without Responsibility, Accountability and Authority

According to Mike Jay, founder of B-Coach Systems (www.b-coach.com), “It is easy to mistake a coach for a person (leader, manager, teacher, trainer, mentor, etc) coaching as they both use the same skills; however, the critical issue is that of responsibility, accountability and authority over outcomes. This key differentiation separates coaches from leaders, mentors, managers, teachers, trainers, facilitators and counselors coaching.”

This difference is important because it shapes the nature of the coaching relationship. Only with a coach is the focus solely on the agenda of the person being coached as a part of a business or organizational system. When a manager is coaching, or using coaching skills, there is at least implicit pressure to change in a direction desired by others. That pressure is also present when an organization designates internal personnel to do coaching.

With an external coach the focus is on the development of the person being coached. The most effective coaching will help clients identify the relationship between their own development and requirements of the business. There is a natural tension between these two streams that a coach can help clarify. By asking questions designed to examine assumptions and beliefs, the mental models of the person being coached are explored. This leads to double-loop learning (Argyris and Schon) where a person can improve not only performance, but emotional intelligence as well.

A truly effective coaching experience is one that provides long-lasting results. On the surface, coaching sounds like goal setting with accountability and motivational pumping up. The athletic coach comes to mind. Even Ken Blanchard co-authored a book with Don Schula, Everyone’s a Coach. But the truth is, not everyone’s a masterful coach.

Not Everyone’s a Masterful Coach

The work of effective coaching within organizations involves unleashing the human spirit and expanding people’s capacity to stretch and grow beyond self-limiting boundaries. Coaching should not start with goal setting and problem solving, but rather with exploring the underlying concepts or mental models that a person uses to make meaning in their world. What are the assumptions and beliefs that determine behavior? The truly effective coach knows that you can’t solve a problem before identifying the real problem.

This is a primary difference between coaching, as it is set forth here as an independent set of skills, and consulting. The consultant is usually called to provide answers. The consultant doing coaching may or may not be skilled at distinguishing this important difference.
Before one can focus on performance issues, a masterful coach guides the exploration process, identifying openings where there may be blind spots. He or she helps to clarify what really matters to the person being coached. Together, they look towards alignment of personal and organizational goals. Only then can there be commitment to right action within the context of the organizational culture and business reality.

It becomes evident that this exploration of assumptions and beliefs is difficult to do when the person coaching is a peer or a supervisor within the organization.

Goleman, Boyatzis and McKee in their book *Primal Leadership* (Harvard Business School Press 2002) bring up the point that despite the commonly held belief that every leader needs to be a good coach, they exhibit this style least often. In high-pressure times, leaders say they “don’t have the time” for coaching. Although coaching focuses on personal development rather than on accomplishing tasks, this leadership style generally predicts an outstandingly positive emotional response and better results.

**The Critical Need for Impact Studies**

What is not always clear in organizations is how initiatives of any sort dealing with intangible effects can impact the bottom line. Some examples of the ways that coaching programs affect financial results are provided in this article.

One study conducted by MetrixGlobal for an executive coaching program designed by The Pyramid Resource Group (www.pyramidresource.com) was impressive. Pyramid coached over 70 executives from a multi-national telecommunications company that included participants in the United States, Canada, Mexico, and Brazil. MetrixGlobal performed an extensive survey of 43 coaching participants that yielded the following results:

*Coaching produced a 529% return on investment and significant intangible benefits to the business. Including the financial benefits from employee retention, coaching boosted the overall ROI to 788%. The study provided powerful new insights into how to maximize the business impact from executive coaching.*

(Merrill Anderson: merrilland@metrixglobal.net)

It remains critical to reiterate the need for coaching to demonstrate the impact on the bottom line. Money is acknowledged as an indicator of value in the marketplace. Peter Drucker often refers to profit as the return on invested capital. We must always evaluate the return to our human and financial capital in light of profitability. It is critical to establish measurements before coaching programs are implemented in order to account for the change induced by coaching. Few organizations or consultants take the time to do this.
To be successful in today’s ever-shifting market, people count for more—
they can make or break the best business strategy, be the driver or brake
in adopting new technologies. People are not an implementation issue,
nor just an operational or strategic asset. People are the raw resource
around which business success revolves.

No strategy, however well designed, will work unless you have the right
people, with the right skills and behaviors, in the right roles, motivated in
the right way and supported by the right leaders. Adopting new
technologies without having the right people to use them wastes billions of
dollars of investment by companies throughout the world.
–The Hay Group

Emotional Intelligence, Coaching and the Bottom Line

An analysis of more than 300 top-level executives from fifteen global
companies showed that six emotional competencies distinguished stars from
the average: Influence, Team Leadership, Organizational Awareness, Self-
Confidence, Achievement Drive, and Leadership (Spencer, L. M., Jr., 1997).
The higher one goes in organizational hierarchy, the more one’s emotional
intelligence distinguishes the star performers.

Currently, organizations are looking to recent work on emotional intelligence to
augment approaches to executive and management development. One study
involved a leadership competence model developed by Lyle Spencer for an
industrial controls firm, a $2 billion global division of Siemens with 400 branches
in 56 countries.

When star performers were compared to average managers, four
competencies of emotional intelligence emerged as the unique strengths
of the stars. Not a single one of them related to technical or purely
cognitive strengths. The following four abilities distinguished those
managers who were star leaders, that is, those whose growth in
revenues and return on sales put their performance in the top 10 to 15
percent:

1. the drive to achieve results
2. the ability to take initiative
3. skills in collaboration and teamwork
4. the ability to lead teams

Then, with a clear idea of which competencies to target, another pool of
managers was trained to cultivate these four strengths. They became
familiar with and were evaluated on each competence, and they set
goals for improving them. The result was an additional $1.5 million profit,
double of that of a comparison group who had no training.
What this means is a clear case for training in the competencies that involve emotional intelligence. Being able to identify and define such competencies is now made possible through 360 degree surveys such as the Emotional Competency Inventory, or ECI, developed by the Hay Group. Coaches can facilitate the effective delivery of feedback given to persons from their peers, subordinates, supervisors and even from family members who are invaluable sources of information.

One of the most effective ways of accessing greater emotional competency is through coaching. Coaching helps develop sound leadership, outstanding interpersonal practices and the ability to manage organizational conflicts. Coaching is about creating the capacity for appreciative and supportive interaction that leads to the achievement of business results.

**Leadership/Executive Coaching FACT SHEET**

*Bob Nardelli, the former CEO of Home Depot is now the CEO of the Chrysler Corporation*

- 57% of Fortune 500's Most Admired Companies use 1-1 Coaching vs. 22% of their Fortune 500 peers.
  *FORTUNE/ Hay Group Executive Survey of Leadership Effectiveness*

- "The kind of training that really makes a difference has nothing to do with programs and everything to do with the informal training that goes on within companies in the form of feedback, coaching, and mentoring."
  *McKinsey Quarterly, 2000, Number 1*

- "I never cease to be amazed at the power of the coaching process to draw out the skills or talent that was previously hidden within an individual, and which invariably finds a way to solve a problem previously thought unsolvable."
  *John Russell, Managing Director, Harley-Davidson Europe Ltd.*

- "Between 25 percent and 40 percent of Fortune 500 companies use executive coaches."
  *The Hay Group, an International Human Resources consultancy*
"Asked for a conservative estimate of the monetary payoff from the coaching they got, these managers described an average return of more than $100,000, or about six times what the coaching had cost their companies."
FORTUNE 2/19/01, “Executive Coaching -- With Returns a CFO Could Love”

"Companies are increasingly turning to coaching for leadership development, style issues and talent retention, so it makes sense that 55% of respondents said that their organization uses coaching as a one-on-one process intended to maximize management and leadership potential and 54% do so to change behaviors."
Lee Hecht Harrison survey

"Executive coaches are not for the meek. They’re for people who value unambiguous feedback. All coaches have one thing in common, it’s that they are ruthlessly results-oriented."
FAST COMPANY Magazine

"If ever stressed-out corporate America could use a little couch-time, it’s now. Trust in big companies is at an all-time low. Baby-boomers have been burned; Gen Xers aren’t expecting the Corporation to take care of them. Under the circumstances, employees are much likelier to go outside and get independent advice to help them be better managers"
Karen Cates, Assistant Professor of Organizational Behavior, Northwestern’s Kellogg Graduate School of Management

"Across corporate America, coaching sessions at many companies have become as routine for executives as budget forecasts and quota meetings."
INVESTORS BUSINESS DAILY

Who exactly seeks out a coach? Winners who want even more out of life."
Chicago Tribune

"I never cease to be amazed at the power of the coaching process to draw out the skills or talent that was previously hidden within an individual, and which invariably finds a way to solve a problem previously thought unsolvable."
John Russell, Managing Director, Harley-Davidson Europe Ltd.

"Executives and HR managers know coaching is the most potent tool for inducing lasting personal change."
Ivy Business Journal

For more information about our Executive Coaching and Leadership Programs visit www.SageLeaders.com